

Implementation Report for IPA II Cross-Border

PART A

IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT

CCI	2014TC16I5CB003
Title	IPA CBC Croatia - Serbia
Version	2020.0
Date of approval of the report by the monitoring committee	26-May-2021

IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT	1
KEY INFORMATION ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME FOR THE YEAR CONCERNED, INCLUDING ON FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS, WITH RELATION TO THE FINANCIAL AND INDICATOR DATA.....	4
3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS	8
3.1 OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION.....	8
3.2 COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC INDICATORS (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013).....	11
PRIORITY AXES OTHER THAN TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.....	11
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA1.A.....	11
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA1.A.1.1.....	12
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA2.B.....	13
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA2.B.2.1.....	14
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA2.B.2.2.....	15
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA3.D.....	16
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA3.D.3.1.....	17
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA4.G.....	18
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - PA4.G.4.1.....	19
PRIORITY AXES FOR TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.....	20
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - PA5.TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.....	20
3.3 TABLE 3: INFORMATION ON THE MILESTONES AND TARGETS DEFINED IN THE PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK.....	21
3.4. FINANCIAL DATA.....	24
TABLE 4: FINANCIAL INFORMATION AT PRIORITY AXIS AND PROGRAMME LEVEL.....	24
AS SET OUT IN TABLE 1 OF ANNEX II TO COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) NO 1011/2014 (MODEL FOR TRANSMISSION OF FINANCIAL DATA) AND TABLE 17 OF MODEL FOR COOPERATION PROGRAMMES UNDER IPA.....	24
WHERE APPLICABLE, THE USE OF ANY CONTRIBUTION FROM THIRD COUNTRIES PARTICIPATING IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME SHOULD BE PROVIDED (FOR EXAMPLE IPA AND ENI, NORWAY, SWITZERLAND).....	25
TABLE 5: BREAKDOWN OF THE CUMULATIVE FINANCIAL DATA BY CATEGORY OF INTERVENTION.....	26
TABLE 6: CUMULATIVE COST OF ALL OR PART OF AN OPERATION IMPLEMENTED OUTSIDE THE UNION PART OF THE PROGRAMME AREA.....	27
4. SYNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS	28
5. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN	31
(A) ISSUES WHICH AFFECT THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND THE MEASURES TAKEN.....	31
(B) OPTIONAL FOR LIGHT REPORTS, OTHERWISE IT WILL BE INCLUDED IN POINT 9.1. AN ASSESSMENT OF WHETHER PROGRESS MADE TOWARDS TARGETS IS SUFFICIENT TO ENSURE THEIR FULFILMENT, INDICATING ANY REMEDIAL ACTIONS TAKEN OR PLANNED, WHERE APPROPRIATE.....	33
6. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY (ARTICLE 50(9) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)	34
7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (ARTICLE 46 OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)	35
8. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (ARTICLE 101(H) AND ARTICLE 111(3) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(B) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)	36
8.1. MAJOR PROJECTS.....	36
TABLE 7: MAJOR PROJECTS.....	36
SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED IN IMPLEMENTING MAJOR PROJECTS AND MEASURES TAKEN TO OVERCOME THEM.....	36
ANY CHANGE PLANNED IN THE LIST OF MAJOR PROJECTS IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME.....	36
8.2. JOINT ACTION PLANS.....	37
TABLE 8: JOINT ACTION PLANS (JAP).....	38
SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED AND MEASURES TAKEN TO OVERCOME THEM.....	39
9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)	40
9.1 INFORMATION IN PART A AND ACHIEVING THE OBJECTIVES OF THE PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013).....	40
9.2. SPECIFIC ACTIONS TAKEN TO PROMOTE EQUALITY BETWEEN MEN AND WOMEN AND TO PROMOTE NON-DISCRIMINATION, IN PARTICULAR ACCESSIBILITY FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES, AND THE ARRANGEMENTS IMPLEMENTED TO ENSURE THE INTEGRATION OF THE GENDER PERSPECTIVE IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME AND OPERATIONS (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2, (D) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013).....	41
9.3.SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2, (E) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013).....	42

9.4. REPORTING ON SUPPORT USED FOR CLIMATE CHANGE OBJECTIVES (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013).....	43
9.5 ROLE OF PARTNERS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1, (C) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)	44
10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1 (A) AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013	45
10.1 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION OF THE EVALUATION PLAN AND THE FOLLOW-UP GIVEN TO THE FINDINGS OF EVALUATIONS	45
10.2 THE RESULTS OF THE INFORMATION AND PUBLICITY MEASURES OF THE FUNDS CARRIED OUT UNDER THE COMMUNICATION STRATEGY.....	47
11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2 (A), (B), (C) AND (F), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013).....	48
11.1. PROGRESS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE INTEGRATED APPROACH TO TERRITORIAL DEVELOPMENT, INCLUDING INTEGRATED TERRITORIAL INVESTMENTS, SUSTAINABLE URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND COMMUNITY LED LOCAL DEVELOPMENT UNDER THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME	48
11.2 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIONS TO REINFORCE THE CAPACITY OF AUTHORITIES AND BENEFICIARIES TO ADMINISTER AND TO USE THE IPA	49
11.3 CONTRIBUTION TO MACRO-REGIONAL AND SEA BASIN STRATEGIES (WHERE APPROPRIATE).....	50
<i>EUSDR</i>	52
<i>EUSAIR</i>	55
11.4 PROGRESS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIONS IN THE FIELD OF SOCIAL INNOVATION	58
13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH	59
14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN — PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)	60
DOCUMENTS	61
LATEST VALIDATION RESULTS	62

2. OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(3)(a) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

Key information on the implementation of the cooperation programme for the year concerned, including on financial instruments, with relation to the financial and indicator data.

In 2020, Managing Authority (MA) continued implementing the Programme in accordance with the principle of sound financial management, in line with Article 125(1) of CPR.

By Jan 2021, according to Interim payments, the Programme was paid EUR 15.520.052,78 by the European Commission (EC). Programme contracting rate is 101,14%. In 2020, the Certifying Authority (CA) certified EUR 6.226.303,51 while EUR 5.367.189,84 was paid to Lead partners. On 31/12/2020, CA certified EUR 19.751.145,35.

Together with the Joint Secretariat (JS) and other Programme Bodies (CA, National Authorities (NA), the MA coordinated the following Programme activities in 2020:

Calls for proposals

1st CfP

In Jan and Feb 2020, the remaining 6 out of 23 projects of 1st CfP finished implementation (REAL FOR ALL, ES GEES, SENSWETLANDS, X-DEGREE, ECO BUILD and CROSS TREE). All projects from 1st CfP (except for FORRET terminated on 21/3/2019 due to serious breach of Subsidy Contract), finished implementation in 2020. Project Progress reports for all projects (except REGERNET) were approved in 2020. Until Jan 2021, CA certified amount of 13.421.219,62 EUR for the 1st CfP projects. Due to COVID-19, in Mar 2020, MA issued instructions to replace on-the-spot (OTS) checks with other appropriate tools (virtual OTS checks). In 2020, First Level Control (FLC) has performed 6 OTS checks, while MA/JS haven't performed any. No irregularities were detected during the visits.

2nd CfP

A total of 20 projects were contracted within 2nd CfP. 19 projects started in 2019, while project MOS-Cross2 was contracted in 2021.

Some of the projects have already completed their implementation in 2020 (FILMharmonia in Nov) while most projects will be finished by the end of 2021. Due to COVID-19, so far 7 projects have extended the implementation (Wild Danube Tour by 8 months, Inclusive Community and BIOSOL by 6 months, Heart Net by 5 months, COMMON and WBH by 3 months, Support Life by 2 months). Until Jan 2021, CA certified EUR 2.248.504,61 for the 2nd CfP projects. In 2020, JS has performed 6 OTS checks, while MA or FLC haven't performed any. No irregularities were detected during the visits.

Information and communication activities

In Feb 2020, the MA and JS organized a 2-day workshop for 1st CfP beneficiaries with the aim to present the challenges and requirements related to the Final Project Progress Report.

Due to COVID-19, all physical Programme events were cancelled or organised virtually including Reporting workshops for 2nd CfP projects, scheduled for Mar 2020. The workshop presentations were made available on Programme website <https://bit.ly/31YOLhJ>.

EC Day was marked by organizing two local events – planting 15 trees in each Member State to celebrate 30 years of Interreg and by launching a promotional campaign on social media (“Discover your neighborhood”).

To celebrate 30 years of Interreg, EC Directorate – General for Regional and Urban Policy published an e-book of projects that represent the best of ETC. Project Take care! contracted under 1st CfP was presented under topic Neighbour Cohesion <https://bit.ly/39XRsv7>.

Project XBIT that was contracted under 1st CfP, was nominated as one of 25 finalists of the 2020 RegioStars competition for the best EU cohesion policy projects <https://bit.ly/2Q4Iaj8>.

Regular revisions and update of the procedures/guidelines/manuals

In order to facilitate reporting on project outputs related to educational/training activities which project partners may decide to carry out remotely during COVID-19, on 16 Apr 2020 the MA and JS revised Annex 5 of Project Implementation Manual (PIM).

Programme bodies activities

Due to COVID-19, most of the foreseen Programme bodies meetings were held virtually. JMC meeting was held on 15/12/2020 via Zoom. On 29/9/2020, a virtual technical meeting between MA and NAs was held. 3rd FLC networking meeting scheduled for 12/3/2020 was cancelled, and the materials were sent to FLC by e-mail.

During 2020, MA launched 2 JMC written procedures (WP). The decisions were:

- Approval of Technical Assistance (TA) budget modification for MA/JS and NAs
- Modification of Cooperation Programme (CP)
- Approval of Annual Implementation Report (AIR) for 2019 and Citizen's summary

In Jan 2021, MA initiated 1 JMC WP:

- Approval of modified project application Mos-Cross 2 (introduction of 2 new project partners due COVID-19).

Programme evaluation

The draft tender dossier for the procurement of services for the Impact evaluation of Interreg IPA programmes 2014-2020 was published for prior consultation on 10 Dec 2020 (<https://bit.ly/2RmoNTm>) while MA launched an open procedure for the procurement of the services for the Impact evaluation later in Dec 2020 (<https://bit.ly/31YVZSV>).

Programming 2021 – 2027

2nd Programming Task Force (PTF) meeting was held on 27/2/2020 in Novi Sad when Terms of Reference (ToR) for programming were discussed and adopted by written procedure on 28/04/2020.

On 17/8/2020, tender for the procurement of services for preparation of Territorial and socio-economic analysis of the Interreg IPA Programmes 2021-2027 was launched (<https://bit.ly/3dLZBgG>). Following tender closure, selection of the best offer and signing of the Contract with external service provider in Oct 2020, the Inception report was presented by the selected experts, and approved at 3rd PTF meeting held virtually on 26/11/2020.

In order to detect main cross-border opportunities and challenges of the programme area and to ensure input regarding thematic and territorial focus, it was important to obtain valuable information from stakeholders through online survey (<https://bit.ly/2Q76GA7>), interviews and focus group workshops organized in 12/2020.

Audits

In 2020 the following audits were carried out:

- System audit: Croatia - Key requirement (KR4 and KR5) for Croatian FLC and MA;

Serbia - NA (KR1 (AC 1.6), Serbian FLC (KR4 and KR5) and JS Branch Office Sremska Mitrovica (KR1 (AC 1.6)

- Audit of operations for 6th accounting year (20 projects audited)

- Follow-up audit was performed in Jan 2021 (Open findings will be closed and verified during audits foreseen in 2021)

Overall conclusion on the assessment of MCS is Category 2: System works. Some improvement(s) needed.

CP modification

New version of CP (version 3.0) prepared by MA, and approved by EC Implementing Decision EC(2020) 4269 from 22/6/2020.

Human Resources

In 2020, the Programme financed 100% salaries of JS staff (Head of JS, 2 Project Managers and 2 Finance Managers) while salaries of MA staff were co-financed as follows: Head of Sector (45%), Head of Service for implementation, monitoring and evaluation of Cooperation Programmes (50%), Programme Manager (100%), TA manager (50%), Head of Department for horizontal affairs (50%), Legal Officer 50%, Communication Officer 50%, Monitoring, evaluation and reporting Officer 50%, eMS manager 50%. Previous Communication officer left MA in Mar 2020, while new Communication officer was appointed in May 2020.

3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS

3.1 Overview of the implementation

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
PA1	Improving the quality of public health and social services in the programme area	<p>Commitment rate for PA1 is 99,23 %.</p> <p>Within Priority axis 1, four projects (Take care!, RealForAll, MOS-Cross and ReGerNet) were contracted within the 1st CfP in 2017, with the total contract value of EUR 3.072.254,35 (EU share EUR 2.611.248,53). By the end of 2020, all projects contracted within the 1st CfP ended their implementation.</p> <p>Three projects totaling EUR 2.534.235,26 (EU share EUR 2.154.099,95) contracted within 2nd CfP in 2019 are the following: Inclusive community, Heart Net, and Support life.</p> <p>One additional project (MOS-Cross 2) selected within the 2nd CfP was contracted in 2021.</p> <p>In December 2020, the Programme amendment was carried out, in accordance with Article 3(2) of the Commission Implementing Regulation 2020/891, resulting in reallocation of the saved amounts from PA2 and PA4 to PA1. Reallocated amount was used for contracting a project from the 2nd CfP PA1 reserve list (MOS-Cross 2).</p> <p>In January 2021, total eligible cost of projects selected for support was EUR 5.588.693,81.</p> <p>Due to the situation with the COVID-19 pandemic and its reflection on implementation of projects, so far 3 projects within PA1 have extended the implementation period (Inclusive Community by 6 months, Heart Net by 5 months, and Support Life by 2 months).</p> <p>No significant problems in project implementation were identified in 2020.</p>
PA2	Protecting the environment and biodiversity, improving risk prevention and promoting sustainable energy and energy efficiency	<p>Commitment rate for PA2 is 101,43 %.</p> <p>Within Priority axis 2, seven projects (R-SOL-E, IMPACT-ENVI, EcoWET, ES-GEES, SeNs Wetlands, X DEGREE, and FORRET) were contracted within the 1st CfP in 2017, with the total contract value of EUR 7.044.610,81 (EU share EUR 5.987.919,08). Due to serious shortcomings in project management and breaches of subsidy contract, project FORRET was terminated on 10 May 2019. All six remaining projects contracted within the 1st CfP ended their implementation by the end of 2020.</p> <p>Six projects totaling EUR 8.537.215,43 (EU share EUR 7.256.633,01) contracted within the 2nd CfP in 2019 are the following: RESCUE, GReEnergy, BIOSOL, WetlandRestore, E2P2, and Together We Stand.</p>

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
		<p>In December 2020, the Programme amendment was carried out, in accordance with Article 3(2) of the Commission Implementing Regulation 2020/891, resulting in reallocation of the saved amounts from PA2 and PA4 to PA1.</p> <p>In January 2021, total eligible cost of projects selected for support was EUR 13.895.534,80.</p> <p>Due to the situation with the COVID-19 pandemic and its reflection on implementation of projects, so far one project within PA2 has extended the implementation period (project BIOSOL by 6 months). No significant problems in project implementation were identified in 2020.</p>
PA3	Contributing to the development of tourism and preserving cultural and natural heritage.	<p>Commitment rate for PA3 is 104,19 %.</p> <p>Within Priority axis 3, six projects (VicTour, Central Danube Tour, EXPLORE CRO-SRB, VISITUS, S.O.S., and HORIS) were contracted within the 1st CfP in 2017, with the total contract value of EUR 4.704.982,21 (EU share EUR 3.999.234,80). All contracted projects ended their implementation in 2019.</p> <p>Four projects totaling EUR 4.543.064,99 (EU share EUR 3.579.437,64) contracted within 2nd CfP in 2019 are the following: Wild Danube Tour, FILMharmonia, Panona net, and Pannonia Gourmet.</p> <p>In January 2021, total eligible cost of operations selected for support was EUR 9.248.047,04.</p> <p>Due to the situation with the COVID-19 pandemic and its reflection on implementation of projects, so far one project within PA3 has extended the implementation period (project Wild Danube Tour by 8 months). No significant problems in project implementation were identified in 2020.</p>
PA4	Enhancing competitiveness and developing business environment in the programme area.	<p>Commitment rate for PA4 is 101,72 %.</p> <p>Within Priority axis 4, six projects (ORGANIC BRIDGE, Eco build, CROSS TREE, DRIVE, ROSIS4H, and XBIT) were contracted within the 1st CfP in 2017, with the total contract value of EUR 3.573.316,29 (with EU share of EUR 3.037.318,74). All projects contracted within the 1st CfP ended their implementation by the end of 2020.</p> <p>Six projects totaling EUR 3.685.010,25 (with EU share of EUR 3.132.258,64) contracted within the 2nd CfP in 2019 are the following: COMMON, FED CCNET, IT community region, CBC Clusters, WBH, and CB NET.</p>

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
		<p>In December 2020, the Programme amendment was carried out, in accordance with Article 3(2) of the Commission Implementing Regulation 2020/891, resulting in reallocation of the saved amounts from PA2 and PA4 to PA1.</p> <p>In January 2021, total eligible cost of operations selected for support was EUR 7.258.326,39.</p> <p>Due to the situation with the COVID-19 pandemic and its reflection on implementation of projects, so far 2 projects within PA4 have extended the implementation period (projects COMMON and WBH by 3 months).</p> <p>No significant problems in project implementation were identified in 2020.</p>
PA5	Technical Assistance	<p>Commitment rate for PA5 is 100 %.</p> <p>The total value of the technical assistance is EUR 4.034.492,00, out of which EUR 3.429.318,20 is EU funding.</p> <p>Six projects were contracted within the Call for Proposals for Technical Assistance (TA) in 2017. In 2018, TA projects of MA and JS merged into a single TA project, therefore there are now five TA projects contracted.</p> <p>The current TA beneficiaries are: Managing Authority and Joint Secretariat, National Authority in Croatia, National Authority in Serbia (including Control body in Serbia), Control body in Croatia, and Audit Authority.</p>

3.2 Common and programme specific indicators (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Priority axes other than technical assistance

Priority axis	PA1 - Improving the quality of public health and social services in the programme area
Thematic Priority	a - Promoting employment, labour mobility and social and cultural inclusion across borders through, inter alia: integrating cross-border labour markets, including cross-border mobility; joint local employment initiatives; information and advisory services and joint training; gender equality; equal opportunities; integration of immigrants' communities and vulnerable groups; investment in public employment services; and supporting investment in public health and social services.

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA1.a

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2020	Observations
F	CO36	Health: Population covered by improved health services	Persons	100,000.00	54,314.00	Project Take care! established mobile team and department for palliative care in Sremska Mitrovica (RS) hospital, thus providing health services to 986 elderly, infirm and palliative patients. Project Support Life also established mobile teams and provided social services to 589 persons. Project ReGerNet provided social services to 528 users of gerontology clubs, while additional 310 persons received home care services. Project RealForAll developed Joint eHealth platform that delivers real-time measurement and forecast for birch, grass and ragweed pollen. It has been used by 10.878 persons. Project MOS-Cross carried out a pilot project of mosquito abatement in critical locations in HR and RS, covering 40.667 persons. Project Inclusive Community provided social services (humanitarian aid, social inclusion, house care, senior volunteering) to 356 persons.
S	CO36	Health: Population covered by improved health services	Persons	100,000.00	123,782.00	Projects Take care!, MOS-Cross, ReGerNet and RealForAll, contracted within the 1st CFP, foreseen to cover 45.600 persons with health and social services developed and improved through the implementation of the projects. All projects managed to provide improved health and social services to more persons than they have initially envisaged. Projects contracted in 2019 within the 2nd CFP (Inclusive community, Heart net and Support life), together with one additional project contracted in 2021 (MOS-Cross 2), forecast to cover in total 78.182 persons with newly developed and/or improved health/social care services. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output during the programming period was more strict, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds.
F	PA1	Number of persons related to improving health care services and/or social care services trained	Number	437.00	524.00	Within Take care!,40 health/social workers were certified in palliative care.Within ReGerNet,111 persons participated in Regional caregivers academy.Support Life educated 123 persons in palliative/social care.Within MOS-Cross,20 health professionals from 2 public health institutes participated in mosquito surveillance trainings,prediction preparations,mosquito sampling for MBD and species,while additional 70 medical staff was trained on MBDs as well as on joint emergency protocol in case of MBDs outbreak. RealForAll provided education on allergens to 116 participants.Inclusive Community trained 44 persons on volunteering in social services.Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output during the programming period was stricter,whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds.
S	PA1	Number of persons related to improving health care services and/or social care services trained	Number	437.00	565.00	Projects Take care!, MOS-Cross, ReGerNet and RealForAll, contracted within the 1st Call for Proposals, foreseen to train 280 persons in the area related to improving health care services and/or social care services, while projects contracted in 2019 within the 2nd CFP (Inclusive community, Heart net and Support life), together with one additional project contracted in 2021 (MOS-Cross 2) forecast to contribute with trainings for 285 persons. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was more strict, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds. Moreover, some projects, such as MOS-Cross, ReGerNet and Support Life, managed to provide training and education to more persons than they have initially envisaged.
F	PA1b	Number of jointly developed tools and services that enable better quality of social and health care	Number	9.00	12.00	Take care! developed a CB network/database for recording/monitoring palliative patients. ReGerNet established 3 gerontology clubs for vulnerable groups services. They also jointly developed accredited caregivers training. MOS-Cross developed a protocol for mosquito surveillance, a CB map of mosquito breeding sites, a service of sampling for MBD, an ICT tool for mosquito and MBDs surveillance, a joint emergency protocol in case of MBD outbreak, and recommendations for mosquito abatement treatments in the CB area. RealForAll developed Joint eHealth platform that delivers real-time measurement/forecast for birch, grass and ragweed pollen.Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was stricter, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds.
S	PA1b	Number of jointly developed tools and services that enable better quality of social and health care	Number	9.00	14.00	Projects Take care!, MOS-Cross, ReGerNet and RealForAll, contracted within the 1st CFP, foreseen to develop 12 tools and services that enable better quality of social and health care, while two projects contracted within the 2nd CFP (Inclusive community and Heart net) forecast to jointly develop two additional tools and/or services. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was more strict, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds.

(1)	ID	Indicator	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	CO36	Health: Population covered by improved health services	12,392.00	1,668.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	CO36	Health: Population covered by improved health services	57,819.00	45,600.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	PA1	Number of persons related to improving health care services and/or social care services trained	176.00	97.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	PA1	Number of persons related to improving health care services and/or social care services trained	485.00	280.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	PA1b	Number of jointly developed tools and services that enable better quality of social and health care	7.00	7.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	PA1b	Number of jointly developed tools and services that enable better quality of social and health care	14.00	12.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	PA1 - Improving the quality of public health and social services in the programme area
Thematic Priority	a - Promoting employment, labour mobility and social and cultural inclusion across borders through, inter alia: integrating cross-border labour markets, including cross-border mobility; joint local employment initiatives; information and advisory services and joint training; gender equality; equal opportunities; integration of immigrants' communities and vulnerable groups; investment in public employment services; and supporting investment in public health and social services.
Specific objective	1.1 - To improve the quality of facilities, services and skills in the area of public health and social care

Table 1: Result indicators - PA1.a.1.1

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2020 Total	2020 Qualitative	Observations
PA1	Number of elderly people and children assisted by social services provided through government bodies	Number	82,672.00	2014	76,885.00	98,298.00		Explanation of SFC warning 2.50.1: The emigration of young people and qualified labour force to other EU countries continued in the Programme area and resulted in an increase of the number of elderly people and children assisted by social services provided through government bodies in 2018 and 2019, in comparison with the baseline value in 2014. In 2020, a decrease of this value was recorded, in comparison with the value reported in 2018, but it is still higher than the baseline value from 2014. The economic consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic are evident, but it is expected that with the recovery of the economy in the Programme area, this trend of decrease might continue in later years. Explanation of SFC warning 2.51.1: Value for 2014 is 0 because the Programme was approved in 2015 and did not have baseline values for 2014.

ID	Indicator	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative
PA1	Number of elderly people and children assisted by social services provided through government bodies	111,069.00		111,069.00					

ID	Indicator	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
PA1	Number of elderly people and children assisted by social services provided through government bodies			0.00	

Priority axis	PA2 - Protecting the environment and biodiversity, improving risk prevention and promoting sustainable energy and energy efficiency
Thematic Priority	b - Protecting the environment and promoting climate change adaptation and mitigation, risk prevention and management through, inter alia: joint actions for environmental protection; promoting sustainable use of natural resources, resource efficiency, renewable energy sources and the shift towards a safe and sustainable low-carbon economy; promoting investment to address specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems and emergency preparedness.

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA2.b

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2020	Observations
F	PA2a	Number of jointly developed and/or operated monitoring systems	Number	5.00	4.00	Project SeNs Wetlands developed monitoring network system for Data Acquisition, Processing and Presentation (DAPP) of surface water, groundwater and wastewater in cross-border area. Project Ecowet conducted a pilot project of mapping and assessing ecosystem services (available as a GIS database) in cross-border pilot areas. Project Impact Envi developed sustainable agricultural production management framework plan in cross-border area. Project WetlandRestore developed an indicator system that will enable efficient monitoring of conservation status of wetland habitats on both sides of the border and changes in their ecological character.
S	PA2a	Number of jointly developed and/or operated monitoring systems	Number	5.00	5.00	Projects IMPACT-ENVI, EcoWET and SeNs Wetlands, contracted within the 1st CIP, foreseen to develop 3 monitoring systems to improve environment protection in the cross-border area, while projects contracted within 2CIP in 2019 (Wetland restore and Together we stand) forecast to develop additional 2 monitoring systems.
F	PA2b	Surface area of habitats supported in order to attain a better conservation status	ha	69,792.00	74,883.89	EcoWET built ecosystem processor in Zasavica (RS) for purification of waste water of protected area, while revitalization work of channel Ivovac at Zlatna Greda (HR) enabled higher capacity of wetland for purification of river water. These two constructed wetlands cover 5000 ha and enable main wetland ecosystem service – water purification of waste/polluted water. By assessing ecosystem, collecting data and producing maps of current and desirable state for 3 pilot areas in Serbia and 3 in Croatia, EcoWET affected additional 69,343,89 ha. SeNs Wetlands developed a project design of a multifunctional vegetation strip at Zobnatica lake (RS), covering 540 ha. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was stricter, but contracted projects foresee that a higher number of outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds.
S	PA2b	Surface area of habitats supported in order to attain a better conservation status	ha	69,792.00	82,279.50	Projects EcoWET, SeNs Wetlands and FORRET, contracted within the 1st CIP, foreseen to support 68.652 ha of habitats. Out of that, 38,000 ha was planned to be supported within project FORRET which was terminated in March 2019. Within the 2nd CIP in 2019, project Wetland restore was contracted and it has foreseen to support 13.627,5 ha of habitats in order to improve its conservation status. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was more strict, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds.
F	PA2c	Additional capacity of renewable energy production	MW	3.60	1.44	Project R-SOL-E produced 0,16 MW of additional capacity of energy production by installing solar power plants on public buildings in Beliše and Gorjani (HR) and by setting solar public lighting in Novi Sad (RS). Project X DEGREE also installed solar power plants (0,39 MW) on six objects in Vinkovci area (HR). In addition, the biogas cogeneration plant with 200 kW of electrical and 230kW of heat power was installed in Sombor (RS), while the biogas production plant was reconstructed, increasing its production capacity for 0,43 MW.
S	PA2c	Additional capacity of renewable energy production	MW	3.60	5.81	Projects R-SOL-E, ES-GEES and X DEGREE, contracted within the 1st CIP, foreseen to produce 2,43 MW of additional capacity of renewable energy in the cross-border area. However, project ES-GEES failed to achieve a part of forecasted value (0,99 MW), i.e. delivered outputs were not in line with the definition of programme output indicator and therefore could not be taken into account as its contribution. Projects contracted in 2019 within the 2nd CIP (RESCUE, GreEnergy, Biosol and E2P2) foreseen production of additional 3,38 MW. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was more strict, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds.

(1)	ID	Indicator	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	PA2a	Number of jointly developed and/or operated monitoring systems	3.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	PA2a	Number of jointly developed and/or operated monitoring systems	5.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	PA2b	Surface area of habitats supported in order to attain a better conservation status	74,343,89	60,380.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	PA2b	Surface area of habitats supported in order to attain a better conservation status	82,279.50	68,652.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	PA2c	Additional capacity of renewable energy production	1.02	0.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	PA2c	Additional capacity of renewable energy production	5.81	2.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	PA2 - Protecting the environment and biodiversity, improving risk prevention and promoting sustainable energy and energy efficiency
Thematic Priority	b - Protecting the environment and promoting climate change adaptation and mitigation, risk prevention and management through, inter alia: joint actions for environmental protection; promoting sustainable use of natural resources, resource efficiency, renewable energy sources and the shift towards a safe and sustainable low-carbon economy; promoting investment to address specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems and emergency preparedness.
Specific objective	2.1 - To enforce integrated cross-border monitoring/ management systems for key existing risks and environmental and biodiversity protection

Table 1: Result indicators - PA2.b.2.1

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2020 Total	2020 Qualitative	Observations
PA2.1	Disaster response capability in the programme area	Percentage	42.00	2015	89.00	71.04		The percentage describing the level of disaster response capability in the Programme area has continuously increased since 2015, reflecting the improvements made in this sector. It is expected that this result indicator value will continue to increase in the following years, aiming towards reaching the target value in 2023. Explanation of SFC warning 2.48.1: Value for 2014 is 0 because the Programme was approved in 2015 and did not have baseline values for 2014.

ID	Indicator	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative
PA2.1	Disaster response capability in the programme area	69.00		69.00					

ID	Indicator	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
PA2.1	Disaster response capability in the programme area	42.00		0.00	

Priority axis	PA2 - Protecting the environment and biodiversity, improving risk prevention and promoting sustainable energy and energy efficiency
Thematic Priority	b - Protecting the environment and promoting climate change adaptation and mitigation, risk prevention and management through, inter alia: joint actions for environmental protection; promoting sustainable use of natural resources, resource efficiency, renewable energy sources and the shift towards a safe and sustainable low-carbon economy; promoting investment to address specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems and emergency preparedness.
Specific objective	2.2 - To promote the use of sustainable energy and energy efficiency

Table 1: Result indicators - PA2.b.2.2

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2020 Total	2020 Qualitative	Observations
PA2.2	Energy consumption by public buildings in the programme area	kWh	119,982,318.29	2014	111,583,556.01	254,129,088.68		Explanation of SFC warning 2.50.1: When determining the baseline value in 2014, the data was obtained from available sources in Croatia and Serbia, however, the available sources of information did not have the complete data, that is, not all public buildings were registered in related databases. In 2020, the data were gathered for the entire Programme area, however, taking into account that the relevant databases in Croatia and Serbia include a significantly higher number of public buildings than in 2014, the reported value is higher than the baseline value.

ID	Indicator	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative
PA2.2	Energy consumption by public buildings in the programme area								

ID	Indicator	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
PA2.2	Energy consumption by public buildings in the programme area			119,982,318.29	

Priority axis	PA3 - Contributing to the development of tourism and preserving cultural and natural heritage.
Thematic Priority	d - Encouraging tourism and cultural and natural heritage.

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA3.d

(I)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2020	Observations
F	CO09	Sustainable Tourism: Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions	Visits/year	1,000.00	5,318.00	Through the innovative promotion of the touristic offer in the CB region, ViCTour contributed to the increase in the number of visitors by 2222. Central Danube Tour contributed to the increase of number of visitors to cultural/natural heritage of Central Danube Tourism Destination by 1426. S.O.S. created thematic route and installed new equipment in Sakuntala park (Osijek, HR), Palić and Subotica (RS), resulting in increase in number of visitors by 1554. VISITUS contributed to the increase of number of visitors by 116 due to enriching tourism offer for persons with visual impairment/blindness. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was stricter, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds.
S	CO09	Sustainable Tourism: Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions	Visits/year	1,000.00	8,051.00	Projects ViCTour, Central Danube Tour, VISITUS and S.O.S., contracted within the 1st CIP, foreseen to increase the number of visitors to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage in the programme area by 4551. All projects managed to increase the number of visitors to supported sites even more than they have initially foreseen. Projects contracted in 2019 within the 2nd CIP (Wild Danube Tour, Panona net, Panonnia Gourmet) forecast to increase the number of visitors to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage by 3.500. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was more strict, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds.
F	PA3a	Number of joint tourism products developed and promoted	Number	13.00	8.00	Project ViCTour enhanced the touristic potential of Srijem/Srem region by developing new visual identity, marketing plans and recommendations for further joint development and diversification of cross-border tourism offer. Cross-border ecotourism and gastro route that diversify turistic offer of Central Danube were developed and promoted by project Central Danube Tour. Project VISITUS created specialised gastro-cultural routes adjusted to persons with visual impairment and blindness as well as specialized tools which enable them to use the new tourist offer in its full capacity. Three cross-border tourism routes in the field of gastronomy, recreation and ecotourism were developed and promoted by the project EXPLORE CRO-SRB. Project S.O.S. created secession thematic route with starting points in Subotica (RS) and Osijek (HR).
S	PA3a	Number of joint tourism products developed and promoted	Number	13.00	16.00	Projects ViCTour, Central Danube Tour, EXPLORE CRO-SRB, VISITUS and S.O.S., contracted within the 1st CP, foreseen to develop and promote 8 different tourism products for the cross-border area, while projects contracted in 2019 within the 2nd CIP (Wild Danube Tour, FILMharmonia, Panona net, Panonnia Gourmet) also plan to develop and promote 8 joint tourism products. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was more strict, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds.
F	PA3b	Number of persons educated in quality assurance, standardisation on cultural and natural heritage and destination management.	Number	370.00	818.00	ViCTour educated 16 tourist guides & 233 stakeholders on digital technology in promotion of Srem region. Central Danube Tour educated 196 persons in dest. management, eno-gastro tourism & hospitality. EXPLORE CRO-SRB educated 122 persons on continental, eno-gastro & recreational tourism. VISITUS educated 64 persons on services to blind/disabled/visually impaired people. S.O.S. educated 86 persons in cultural heritage & dest. management. HORIS trained 99 persons in equestrian tourism. Wild Danube Tour educated 2 persons in quality management. Programme estimation of funds for achieving the output while setting target value was stricter, but contracted projects foresee that a higher number of outputs can be achieved for the same funds. Due to high interest, projects educated more persons than planned at the beginning. Therefore, the achieved value is higher than forecasted.
S	PA3b	Number of persons educated in quality assurance, standardisation on cultural and natural heritage and destination management.	Number	370.00	490.00	Projects ViCTour, Central Danube Tour, EXPLORE CRO-SRB, VISITUS, S.O.S and HORIS, contracted within the 1st CIP, foreseen to educate 276 persons in quality assurance, standardisation on cultural and natural heritage and destination management. All projects managed to provide education and training in these fields to more persons than they have initially planned, therefore the achieved value is higher than the forecast. Projects contracted in 2019 within the 2nd CIP (Wild Danube Tour, FILMharmonia, Panona net, Panonnia Gourmet) plan to provide education for additional 214 persons. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was more strict, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds.
F	PA3d	Number of tourism supporting facilities and/or tourism infrastructure developed or improved	Number	11.00	9.00	Within project S.O.S., revitalization of 19th century secession Sakuntala park in Osijek (HR) was done, while strategic documents for restoration and tourist valorization of Subotica's City Hall (HR) were prepared. Within project HORIS, 5 equestrian tourism supporting facilities/structures were created to improve equestrian tourism offer in cross-border area : two closed arenas with accompanying facilities and riding areas, road for horses, platform for horses and bower for tourists. Project FILMharmonia improved existing tourism and cultural supporting facility in Novi Sad (RS) by increasing its capacity to perform/develop new cultural products (e.g. musical events and concerts) and widen its offer. Within project Wild Danube Tour, a bird feeding station in Special Nature Reserve Zasavica (RS) was developed.
S	PA3d	Number of tourism supporting facilities and/or tourism infrastructure developed or improved	Number	11.00	25.00	Projects S.O.S. and HORIS, contracted within the 1st CIP, foreseen to develop and/or improve 7 tourism supporting facilities and/or tourism infrastructure by the end of their implementation, while projects contracted within the 2nd CIP (Wild Danube Tour, FILMharmonia, Panona net, Panonnia Gourmet) plan to contribute with 18 additional tourism supporting facilities and/or tourism infrastructure. Project Wild Danube Tour initially planned to develop/improve 11 tourism supporting facilities, however this value was decreased to 8 in project modification done in March 2021. Therefore, total forecast value in 2020 is lower than it was in 2019. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was more strict, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds.

(I)	ID	Indicator	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	CO09	Sustainable Tourism: Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions	2,342.00	750.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	CO09	Sustainable Tourism: Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions	7,551.00	4,551.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	PA3a	Number of joint tourism products developed and promoted	8.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	PA3a	Number of joint tourism products developed and promoted	16.00	8.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	PA3b	Number of persons educated in quality assurance, standardisation on cultural and natural heritage and destination management.	756.00	329.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	PA3b	Number of persons educated in quality assurance, standardisation on cultural and natural heritage and destination management.	490.00	276.00	56.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	PA3d	Number of tourism supporting facilities and/or tourism infrastructure developed or improved	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	PA3d	Number of tourism supporting facilities and/or tourism infrastructure developed or improved	28.00	7.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	PA3 - Contributing to the development of tourism and preserving cultural and natural heritage.
Thematic Priority	d - Encouraging tourism and cultural and natural heritage.
Specific objective	3.1 - To strengthen, diversify and integrate the cross-border tourism offer and better manage cultural and natural heritage assets

Table 1: Result indicators - PA3.d.3.1

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2020 Total	2020 Qualitative	Observations
PA3	Number of overnights in the programme area	Number	1,037,837.00	2014	1,041,358.00	908,051.00		The negative impact of the COVID-19 restrictions of domestic and international travel has significantly reduced the number of overnights in the Programme area, both in comparison with the reported value of 2018, but also in comparison with the baseline value of 2014. However, as soon as the COVID-19 crisis is diminished, it can be expected that the travel market will recover and consequently, the number of overnights in the Programme area should increase in the following years, even surpassing the targeted value for 2023 (estimation based on the number of overnights in 2018). Explanation of SFC warning 2.49.1: In 2018 and 2019 (prior to COVID-19 pandemic), the tourism related to both natural and cultural heritage was in rise in the Programming area that led to overachievement of previously set target values.

ID	Indicator	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative
PA3	Number of overnights in the programme area	1,487,792.00		1,487,792.00					

ID	Indicator	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
PA3	Number of overnights in the programme area			1,037,837.00	

Priority axis	PA4 - Enhancing competitiveness and developing business environment in the programme area.
Thematic Priority	g - Enhancing competitiveness, the business environment and the development of small and medium-sized enterprises, trade and investment through, inter alia, promotion and support to entrepreneurship, in particular small and medium-sized enterprises, and development of local cross-border markets and internationalisation.

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA4.g

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2020	Observations
F	CO26	Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions	Enterprises	9.00	16.00	Within Eco build, 5 enterprises established cooperation with research institutions on the possibilities of biomass ash usage in civil engineering products. ROSIS4H set up cooperation between 6 enterprises and RI to focus on soil analysis, fruit and herb production. Within DRIVE, 3 enterprises established cooperation with RI on computer systems and IT in domain of automotive industry, while in XBIT, 2 enterprises set up cooperation with RI to develop joint research for innovation in corporate and traditional businesses. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was stricter, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds.
S	CO26	Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions	Enterprises	9.00	23.00	Projects Eco build, ROSIS4H, DRIVE and XBIT, contracted within the 1st CFP, foreseen to establish cooperation between 13 enterprises and research institutions by the end of their implementation. Projects Eco build and ROSIS4H managed to establish cooperation between more enterprises and research institutions than they have initially foreseen. Among projects contracted in 2019 within the 2nd CFP, only one project (FED CCNET) selected this indicator, and it plans to establish cooperation between additional 10 enterprises and research institutions. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was more strict, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds.
F	PA4a	Number of innovative technologies, processes, products and services introduced by the enterprises in the programme area	Number	7.00	13.00	Eco Build developed 4 new innovative laboratory scaled products made with biomass ashes, which can be used as building material. Within DRIVE, 4 courses were developed, which are carried out within graduate school for automotive software engineering, while XBIT developed Entrepreneurial Education curriculum covering entrepreneurship and IT. XBIT developed Open Innovation Lab as a pilot concept of cooperation for business innovation. CROSS TREE developed 5 new different innovative types of nursery trees, which are certified and produced in both countries, while ROSIS4H developed a Blue economy programme for cultivation of cellulose mushrooms. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was stricter, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds.
S	PA4a	Number of innovative technologies, processes, products and services introduced by the enterprises in the programme area	Number	7.00	18.00	Projects Eco build, ROSIS4H, DRIVE, CROSS TREE and XBIT, contracted within the 1st CFP, foreseen to introduce 15 innovative technologies, processes, products and/or services in the programme area. However, project XBIT failed to achieve a part of foreseen value (2), i.e. delivered outputs were not in line with the definition of programme output indicator and therefore could not be taken into account as its contribution. Projects contracted in 2019 within 2nd CFP (CBC Clusters and CB NET) plan to introduce additional 3 innovative processes/services by the end of their implementation. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was more strict, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds.
F	PA4c	Number of cross-border clusters or networks or other collaborative schemes including entrepreneurs established or improved	Number	7.00	4.00	Project ROSIS4H established an e-platform which provides advisory support in the horticulture sector and introduces new ways of improving the production process targeting higher quality standards and reducing the impact of hazardous substances from insecticide and pesticides on food chain products. Project ORGANIC BRIDGE extended and improved cross-border network of organic producers by joining new members and developing a web platform. Project XBIT established a cross-border IT network for competitiveness, innovation and entrepreneurship, which is registered as NGO in Donji Miholjac (HR). Project CBC Clusters established a cluster in the field of agriculture, focusing on renewable energy from the harvest residues of all kinds of crops growing on agricultural land.
S	PA4c	Number of cross-border clusters or networks or other collaborative schemes including entrepreneurs established or improved	Number	7.00	11.00	Projects ORGANIC BRIDGE, Eco build, ROSIS4H and XBIT, contracted within the 1st CFP, foreseen to establish 4 cross-border clusters, networks or other collaborative schemes in the programme area. However, project Eco build failed to achieve planned output (1), i.e. delivered output was not in line with the definition of programme output indicator and therefore could not be taken into account as its contribution. Projects contracted in 2019 within the 2nd CFP (COMMON, FED CCNET, IT Community region, CBC Clusters, WBH and CB NET) plan to establish 7 more cross-border collaborative scheme by the end of their implementation. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was more strict, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds.
F	PA4d	Number of laboratories and/or competence centres jointly used by entrepreneurs developed or improved	Number	12.00	20.00	ORGANIC BRIDGE established Centre for coordination/transfer of applied research in eco-farming and Centre for organic production. DRIVE equipped 4 laboratories for IT/automotive industry. Within XBIT, 3 Innovation/Fabrication Laboratories, and 1 mobile laboratory for digital fabrication were equipped. CROSS TREE developed in-field laboratories (2 local cultivars collections, 2 demonstrative orchards & 1 vineyard for developing new/researching traditional varieties. The projects established 2 Agro-business competence offices. ROSIS4H developed laboratory which supports ICT in horticulture, and improved 2 competence centres for horticulture production. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was stricter, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds.
S	PA4d	Number of laboratories and/or competence centres jointly used by entrepreneurs developed or improved	Number	12.00	21.00	Projects ORGANIC BRIDGE, ROSIS4H, DRIVE, CROSS TREE and XBIT, contracted within the 1st CFP, foreseen to develop or improve 20 laboratories and/or competence centres in the programme area by the end of their implementation, while only one project (FED CCNET) contracted in 2019 within the 2nd CFP selected this indicator and plans to develop one business competence centre. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was more strict, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds.

(1)	ID	Indicator	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	CO26	Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions	12.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	CO26	Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions	23.00	13.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	PA4a	Number of innovative technologies, processes, products and services introduced by the enterprises in the programme area	8.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	PA4a	Number of innovative technologies, processes, products and services introduced by the enterprises in the programme area	18.00	15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	PA4c	Number of cross-border clusters or networks or other collaborative schemes including entrepreneurs established or improved	4.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	PA4c	Number of cross-border clusters or networks or other collaborative schemes including entrepreneurs established or improved	11.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	PA4d	Number of laboratories and/or competence centres jointly used by entrepreneurs developed or improved	15.00	8.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	PA4d	Number of laboratories and/or competence centres jointly used by entrepreneurs developed or improved	21.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	PA4 - Enhancing competitiveness and developing business environment in the programme area.
Thematic Priority	g - Enhancing competitiveness, the business environment and the development of small and medium-sized enterprises, trade and investment through, inter alia, promotion and support to entrepreneurship, in particular small and medium-sized enterprises, and development of local cross-border markets and internationalisation.
Specific objective	4.1 - To improve competitiveness of the programme area through strengthening cooperation between business support institutions, clusters, education and research organisations and entrepreneurs with aim to develop new products/services/patents/trademarks in the programme area

Table 1: Result indicators - PA4.g.4.1

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2020 Total	2020 Qualitative	Observations
PA4	Range of cluster activities enhancing innovation, new technologies and ICT solutions	Number	13.00	2015	18.00	3.00		The reported value for 2020 is lower than the baseline value of 2015, since in the meantime several clusters ceased to exist and perform their activities, partially due to low interest of the members, and partially due to decreased funding possibilities. Considering the lack of continuous support to clusters in Croatia and Serbia, the regional and local authorities have to rely on their own resources and coordinate their efforts with the local community and cluster stakeholders. Based on the information acquired from the relevant authorities, it is expected the cluster activities enhancing innovation, new technologies and ICT solutions will increase in the following years, considering recent developments in the ICT industry in the area. Explanation of SFC warning 2.48.1: Value for 2014 is 0 because the CP was approved in 2015 and did not have values for 2014.

ID	Indicator	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative
PA4	Range of cluster activities enhancing innovation, new technologies and ICT solutions								

ID	Indicator	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
PA4	Range of cluster activities enhancing innovation, new technologies and ICT solutions	13.00		0.00	

Priority axes for technical assistance

Priority axis	PA5 - Technical Assistance
---------------	----------------------------

Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - PA5.Technical Assistance

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2020	Observations
F	5.1	Joint secretariat established	Number	1.00	1.00	Joint Secretariat was established in 2015.
S	5.1	Joint secretariat established	Number	1.00	1.00	Joint Secretariat was established in 2015.
F	5.10	Network of controllers established	Number	1.00	1.00	Network of controllers was established in 2016.
S	5.10	Network of controllers established	Number	1.00	1.00	Network of controllers was established in 2016.
F	5.16	Number of employees (Full Time Equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance	Number	18.00	15.10	In 2020, 100% salary for 9 employees, 50% salary for 6 employees, 47% salary for 5 employees, 45% salary for 1 employee, 20% salary for 1 employee and 10% salary for 1 employee are co-financed by technical assistance. In 2018, the implemented value is higher than the selected one due to the fact that more salaries could be co-financed by the TA than it was estimated in the programming period.
S	5.16	Number of employees (Full Time Equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance	Number	18.00	18.00	Additional positions in Managing Authority and National Authority in Croatia (equivalent to 3 full-time salaries) are expected to be filled-in during 2021.
F	5.5	Joint Monitoring Committee meetings held	Number	9.00	7.00	In accordance with Article 38 (8) of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 447/2014 and JMC Rules of Procedures, JMC shall meet at least once a year. In 2020, due to COVID-19 pandemic, one meeting, seventh in total, was held virtually on 15 December 2020.
S	5.5	Joint Monitoring Committee meetings held	Number	9.00	9.00	In accordance with Article 38 (8) of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 447/2014 and JMC Rules of Procedures, JMC shall meet at least once a year. Therefore, total planned target value is 9 meetings.
F	5.7	Programme communication strategy developed and implemented	Number	1.00	1.00	Programme communication strategy was developed in 2015 and implemented ever since.
S	5.7	Programme communication strategy developed and implemented	Number	1.00	1.00	Programme communication strategy was developed in 2015 and implemented ever since.
F	5.8	Independent programme evaluations implemented (ex-ante and during programme implementation)	Number	2.00	1.00	One evaluation during Programme implementation (operational evaluation) was finalised in October 2019.
S	5.8	Independent programme evaluations implemented (ex-ante and during programme implementation)	Number	2.00	2.00	Two programme evaluations are planned to be implemented : operational evaluation and impact evaluation. Operational evaluation was finalised in October 2019, while impact evaluation is planned for the end of 2021 / beginning of 2022.
F	5.9	Programme e-Monitoring System developed, implemented and used	Number	1.00	1.00	e-Monitoring System (eMS) for the Programme was developed, implemented, set-up and ready for use in 2016.
S	5.9	Programme e-Monitoring System developed, implemented and used	Number	1.00	1.00	e-Monitoring System (eMS) for the Programme was developed, implemented, set-up and ready for use in 2016.

(1)	ID	Indicator	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	5.1	Joint secretariat established	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
S	5.1	Joint secretariat established	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
F	5.10	Network of controllers established	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
S	5.10	Network of controllers established	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
F	5.16	Number of employees (Full Time Equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance	14.80	17.05	13.83	6.33	5.33	5.33
S	5.16	Number of employees (Full Time Equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance	18.00	12.00	13.83	6.33	5.33	5.33
F	5.5	Joint Monitoring Committee meetings held	6.00	4.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
S	5.5	Joint Monitoring Committee meetings held	9.00	9.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
F	5.7	Programme communication strategy developed and implemented	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
S	5.7	Programme communication strategy developed and implemented	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
F	5.8	Independent programme evaluations implemented (ex-ante and during programme implementation)	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	5.8	Independent programme evaluations implemented (ex-ante and during programme implementation)	2.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	5.9	Programme e-Monitoring System developed, implemented and used	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
S	5.9	Programme e-Monitoring System developed, implemented and used	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

3.3 Table 3: Information on the milestones and targets defined in the performance framework

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Milestone for 2018 total	Final target (2023) total	2020	Observations
PA1	F	PA1	Improving the quality of public health and social services in the programme area	EUR	700,000.00	5,609,512.00	3,299,453.19	By the end of 2020, the contracted projects incurred and were paid EUR 3,229,453.19 (total amount). Details of PA1 project outputs are present in Section 3.2. Common and programme specific indicators, Table 2, Cell Observations.
PA1	O	PA1	Number of persons related to improving health care services and/or social care services trained	Number	75	437.00	524.00	Within projects Take care!, ReGerNet, MOS-Cross, RealForAll and Inclusive community 524 participants were trained/certified in different health and social services. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was stricter, whereas contracted projects foreseen that a higher number of these outputs can be achieved for the same amount of funds. Moreover, some projects, such as MOS-Cross, ReGerNet and Support Life, managed to provide training and education to more persons than they have envisaged, for the same amount of funds.
PA1	O	PA1b	Number of jointly developed tools and services that enable better quality of social and health care	Number	2	9.00	12.00	Projects Take care!, ReGerNet, MOS-Cross and RealForAll developed 12 tools and services that enable better quality of social and health care. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was more strict, whereas contracted projects foreseen and achieved a higher number of outputs for the same amount of funds.
PA2	F	PA2	Protecting the environment and biodiversity, improving risk prevention and promoting sustainable energy and energy efficiency	EUR	1.200,000.00	11,644,543.00	5,724,611.13	By the end of 2020, the contracted projects incurred and were paid EUR 5,724,611.13 (total amount). Details of PA2 project outputs are present in Section 3.2. Common and programme specific indicators, Table 2, Cell Observations.
PA2	O	PA2a	Number of jointly developed and/or operated monitoring systems	Number	1	5.00	4.00	Projects SeNs Wetlands, Ecowet, Impact Envi and WetlandRestore have developed and operated 4 different monitoring systems.
PA2	O	PA2b	Surface area of habitats supported in order to attain a better conservation status	ha	100	69,792.00	74,883.89	Projects EcoWET and SeNsWetlands supported 74,883.89 ha of habitats in order to attain a better conservation status. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was more strict, whereas contracted projects foreseen and achieved a higher value for this output for the same amount of funds.
PA3	O	CO09	Sustainable Tourism: Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions	Visits/year	20	1,000.00	5,318.00	Projects ViCTour, Central Danube Tour, S.O.S. and VISITUS contributed to the increase in the number of visitors in the Programme area by 5318. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was stricter, whereas contracted projects foreseen and achieved a higher value for this output for the same amount of funds.
PA3	F	PA3	Contributing to the development of tourism and preserving cultural and natural heritage	EUR	800,000.00	7,544,500.00	5,137,929.68	By the end of 2020, the contracted projects incurred and were paid EUR 5,137,929.68 (total amount). Details of PA3 project outputs are present in Section 3.2. Common and programme specific indicators, Table 2, Cell Observations.
PA3	I	PA3	Projects contracted	Number	6	10.00	10.00	Six projects (VicTour, Central Danube Tour, EXPLORE CRO-SRB, VISITUS, S.O.S., and HORIS) were contracted within the 1st CfP in 2017, whereas four more projects (Wild Danube Tour, FILMharmonia, Panona net, and Pannonia Gourmet) were contracted within 2nd CfP in 2019.
PA3	O	PA3a	Number of joint tourism products developed and promoted	Number	3	13.00	8.00	Projects ViCTour, Central Danube Tour, VISITUS, EXPLORE CRO-SRB and S.O.S. developed and promoted 8 different tourism products.
PA3	O	PA3b	Number of persons educated in quality assurance, standardisation on cultural and natural heritage and destination management.	Number	50	370.00	818.00	Projects VicTour, Central Danube Tour, EXPLORE CRO-SRB, VISITUS, S.O.S., HORIS and Wild Danube Tour educated 818 persons in quality assurance, standardisation on cultural and natural heritage and destination management. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was stricter, whereas contracted projects foreseen and achieved a higher number for this output for the same amount of funds.
PA3	O	PA3d	Number of tourism supporting facilities and/or tourism infrastructure developed or improved	Number	0	11.00	9.00	Projects S.O.S., HORIS, FILMharmonia and Wild Danube Tour have developed/improved 9 different tourism supporting facilities/structures.

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Milestone for 2018 total	Final target (2023) total	2020	Observations
PA4	O	CO26	Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions	Enterprises	2	9.00	16.00	Within projects Eco build, ROSIS4H, DRIVE, and XBIT 16 enterprises established cooperation with research institutions. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was stricter, whereas contracted projects foreseen and achieved a higher value for this output for the same amount of funds.
PA4	F	PA4	Enhancing competitiveness and developing business environment in the programme area	EUR	650,000.00	6,065,315.00	3,801,997.21	By the end of 2020, the contracted projects incurred and were paid EUR 3,801,997.21 (total amount). Details of PA4 project outputs are present in Section 3.2. Common and programme specific indicators, Table 2, Cell Observations.
PA4	I	PA4	Projects contracted	Number	6	10.00	12.00	Six projects (ORGANIC BRIDGE, Eco build, CROSS TREE, DRIVE, ROSIS4H, and XBIT) were contracted within the 1st CfP in 2017, whereas six more projects (COMMON, FED CCNET, IT community region, CBC Clusters, WBH, and CB NET) were contracted within the 2nd CfP in 2019.
PA4	O	PA4a	Number of innovative technologies, processes, products and services introduced by the enterprises in the programme area	Number	1	7.00	13.00	Projects Eco Build, DRIVE, XBIT, CROSS TREE and ROSIS4H developed 13 new innovative products and services in the programme area. Programme estimation of funds needed for achieving this output while setting a target value was stricter, whereas contracted projects foreseen and achieved a higher number of these outputs for the same amount of funds.
PA4	O	PA4c	Number of cross-border clusters or networks or other collaborative schemes including entrepreneurs established or improved	Number	0	7.00	4.00	Projects ROSIS4H, ORGANIC BRIDGE, XBIT and CBC Clusters established and improved 4 different cross-border clusters/networks including entrepreneurs.

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
PA1	F	PA1	Improving the quality of public health and social services in the programme area	EUR	2,535,529.89	1,624,811.58	12,750.00	0.00	0.00
PA1	O	PA1	Number of persons related to improving health care services and/or social care services trained	Number	176.00	97.00	40.00	0.00	0.00
PA1	O	PA1b	Number of jointly developed tools and services that enable better quality of social and health care	Number	7.00	7.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
PA2	F	PA2	Protecting the environment and biodiversity, improving risk prevention and promoting sustainable energy and energy efficiency	EUR	4,425,184.71	1,756,140.72	12,750.00	0.00	0.00
PA2	O	PA2a	Number of jointly developed and/or operated monitoring systems	Number	3.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PA2	O	PA2b	Surface area of habitats supported in order to attain a better conservation status	ha	74,343.89	60,380.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PA3	O	CO09	Sustainable Tourism: Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions	Visits/year	2,342.00	750.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PA3	F	PA3	Contributing to the development of tourism and preserving cultural and natural heritage	EUR	2,668,852.39	1,000,888.92	11,900.00	0.00	0.00
PA3	I	PA3	Projects contracted	Number	10.00	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PA3	O	PA3a	Number of joint tourism products developed and promoted	Number	8.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PA3	O	PA3b	Number of persons educated in quality assurance, standardisation on cultural and natural heritage and destination management.	Number	756.00	329.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PA3	O	PA3d	Number of tourism supporting facilities and/or tourism infrastructure developed or improved	Number	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PA4	O	CO26	Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions	Enterprises	12.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PA4	F	PA4	Enhancing competitiveness and developing business environment in the programme area	EUR	2,805,496.80	1,642,611.41	17,373.15	0.00	0.00
PA4	I	PA4	Projects contracted	Number	12.00	6.00	6.00	0.00	0.00
PA4	O	PA4a	Number of innovative technologies, processes, products and services introduced by the enterprises in the programme area	Number	8.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PA4	O	PA4c	Number of cross-border clusters or networks or other collaborative schemes including entrepreneurs established or improved	Number	4.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	2014
PA1	F	PA1	Improving the quality of public health and social services in the programme area	EUR	0.00
PA1	O	PA1	Number of persons related to improving health care services and/or social care services trained	Number	0.00
PA1	O	PA1b	Number of jointly developed tools and services that enable better quality of social and health care	Number	0.00
PA2	F	PA2	Protecting the environment and biodiversity, improving risk prevention and promoting sustainable energy and energy efficiency	EUR	0.00
PA2	O	PA2a	Number of jointly developed and/or operated monitoring systems	Number	0.00
PA2	O	PA2b	Surface area of habitats supported in order to attain a better conservation status	ha	0.00
PA3	O	CO09	Sustainable Tourism: Increase in expected number of visits to supported sites of cultural and natural heritage and attractions	Visits/year	0.00

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	2014
PA3	F	PA3	Contributing to the development of tourism and preserving cultural and natural heritage	EUR	0.00
PA3	I	PA3	Projects contracted	Number	0.00
PA3	O	PA3a	Number of joint tourism products developed and promoted	Number	0.00
PA3	O	PA3b	Number of persons educated in quality assurance, standardisation on cultural and natural heritage and destination management.	Number	0.00
PA3	O	PA3d	Number of tourism supporting facilities and/or tourism infrastructure developed or improved	Number	
PA4	O	CO26	Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions	Enterprises	0.00
PA4	F	PA4	Enhancing competitiveness and developing business environment in the programme area	EUR	0.00
PA4	I	PA4	Projects contracted	Number	0.00
PA4	O	PA4a	Number of innovative technologies, processes, products and services introduced by the enterprises in the programme area	Number	0.00
PA4	O	PA4c	Number of cross-border clusters or networks or other collaborative schemes including entrepreneurs established or improved	Number	0.00

3.4. Financial data

Table 4: Financial information at priority axis and programme level

As set out in Table 1 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and table 17 of model for cooperation programmes under IPA.

Priority axis	Calculation basis	Total funding	Co-financing rate	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Proportion of the total allocation covered with selected operations	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Proportion of the total allocation covered by eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries	Number of operations selected
PA1	Public	6,599,427.00	85.00	5,588,693.81	84.68%	4,750,222.05	3,299,453.19	50.00%	7
PA2	Public	13,699,623.00	85.00	13,895,534.80	101.43%	11,811,204.40	5,724,611.13	41.79%	13
PA3	Public	8,875,883.00	85.00	9,248,047.04	104.19%	7,578,672.31	5,137,929.68	57.89%	10
PA4	Public	7,135,666.00	85.00	7,258,326.39	101.72%	6,169,577.26	3,801,997.21	53.28%	12
PA5	Public	4,034,492.00	85.00	4,034,492.00	100.00%	3,429,318.20	1,787,154.17	44.30%	6
Total		40,345,091.00	85.00	40,025,094.04	99.21%	33,738,994.22	19,751,145.38	48.96%	48

Where applicable, the use of any contribution from third countries participating in the cooperation programme should be provided (for example IPA and ENI, Norway, Switzerland)

--

Table 5: Breakdown of the cumulative financial data by category of intervention

As set out in Table 2 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and tables 6-9 of Model for cooperation programmes

Priority axis	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	«r.ir.etc.table5.thempridimen»	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by eneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
PA1	081	01	07	07	a	20	HR04	1,570,572.80	1,334,930.96	800,106.42	4
PA1	107	01	07	07	a	20	HR04	175,453.70	149,079.77	149,840.83	1
PA1	111	01	07	07	a	20	HR04	460,854.74	391,726.53	452,015.75	1
PA1	112	01	07	07	a	20	HR04	3,381,812.57	2,874,484.79	1,897,490.19	6
PA2	010	01	07	07	b	10	HR04	3,894,146.49	3,310,024.47	1,394,502.27	6
PA2	010	01	07	07	b	22	HR04	327,205.33	278,124.53	8,107.64	1
PA2	011	01	07	07	b	10	HR04	565,448.65	480,631.34	425,122.03	1
PA2	011	01	07	07	b	22	HR04	327,205.34	278,124.53	8,107.65	1
PA2	012	01	07	07	b	10	HR04	465,063.23	395,303.75	432,053.32	1
PA2	013	01	07	07	b	10	HR04	3,894,146.48	3,310,024.47	1,394,502.28	6
PA2	013	01	07	07	b	22	HR04	327,205.34	278,124.53	8,107.65	1
PA2	085	01	07	07	b	22	HR04	1,059,251.74	900,363.94	582,421.46	3
PA2	086	01	07	07	b	22	HR04	668,430.84	568,166.20	216,880.65	2
PA2	087	01	07	07	b	11	HR04	898,590.10	763,801.58	353,454.42	2
PA2	087	01	07	07	b	19	HR04	285,125.58	242,356.74	273,948.67	1
PA2	088	01	07	07	b	11	HR04	898,590.10	763,801.58	353,454.42	1
PA2	088	01	07	07	b	19	HR04	285,125.58	242,356.74	273,948.67	1
PA3	090	01	07	07	d	15	HR04	540,421.27	459,358.07	313,065.72	3
PA3	091	01	07	07	d	15	HR04	2,252,660.11	1,820,705.21	1,145,831.12	8
PA3	092	01	07	07	d	15	HR04	1,072,609.35	911,717.93	458,767.60	3
PA3	093	01	07	07	d	15	HR04	3,251,138.09	2,669,411.49	1,886,026.93	9
PA3	094	01	07	07	d	15	HR04	973,053.17	733,039.33	427,648.24	3
PA3	095	01	07	07	d	15	HR04	1,158,165.05	984,440.28	906,590.07	6
PA4	063	01	07	07	g	01	HR04	1,001,055.68	850,897.30	949,512.51	3
PA4	063	01	07	07	g	07	HR04	1,334,764.01	1,134,549.39	240,889.38	2
PA4	063	01	07	07	g	13	HR04	679,823.18	577,849.68	54,021.41	2
PA4	066	01	07	07	g	01	HR04	450,240.55	382,704.45	430,149.03	2
PA4	066	01	07	07	g	07	HR04	232,291.25	197,447.56	226,802.29	1
PA4	066	01	07	07	g	17	HR04	721,658.41	613,409.64	53,739.74	1
PA4	066	01	07	07	g	19	HR04	354,831.99	301,607.19	95,501.77	1
PA4	082	01	07	07	g	01	HR04	188,086.07	159,873.15	174,397.66	1
PA4	082	01	07	07	g	06	HR04	907,094.81	771,030.58	906,180.68	1
PA4	082	01	07	07	g	13	HR04	826,223.92	702,290.30	158,727.80	2
PA4	082	01	07	07	g	19	HR04	562,256.52	477,918.02	512,074.94	1
PA5	121	01	07	07		18	HR04	3,067,399.30	2,607,289.40	1,523,161.32	3
PA5	122	01	07	07		18	HR04	103,855.20	88,276.93		1
PA5	123	01	07	07		18	HR04	863,237.50	733,751.87	263,992.85	2

Table 6: Cumulative cost of all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area

1. Operation (2)	2. The amount of IPA support(1) envisaged to be used for all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area based on selected operations	3. Share of the total financial allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 2/total amount allocated to the support from the IPA at programme level * 100)	4. Eligible expenditure of IPA support incurred in all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area declared by the beneficiary to the managing authority	5. Share of the total financial allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 4/total amount allocated to the support from the IPA at programme level *100)
DRIVE; VISITUS; Take Care!; R-SOL-E; Central Danube Tour; ORGANIC BRIDGE; CROSS TREE; ROSIS4H; XBIT; EXPLORE CRO-SRB; HORIS; SeNs Wetlands; RealForAll	1,490,948.49	4.35%	131,603.66	0.38%

(1) IPA support is the Commission decision on the respective cooperation programme.

(2) In accordance with and subject to ceilings set out Article 20 of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013.

4. SYNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS

Programme evaluations are carried out in order to assess the effectiveness, efficiency and impact of the programme. Interreg IPA CBC Programme Croatia-Serbia 2014-2020 (the Programme) has been subject to an ex-ante evaluation of independent evaluators with the aim to improve programme quality and to optimise the allocation of budget resources. The recommendations of this evaluation have been taken into account during the drafting of the Programme as described in ex-ante report.

During the implementation of the Programme, the aim of the evaluation is to assess how the support from the funds has contributed to the objectives for each Priority Axis. In accordance with Article 56 of the Regulation No 1303/2013, the Managing Authority (MA) prepared the evaluation plan approved by the Joint Monitoring Committee (JMC) on 7 September 2016. All evaluations, recommendations and follow-up actions shall also be approved by the JMC.

In line with the Evaluation plan, Programme specific needs and the available financial resources, the following evaluations are planned to be carried out:

- Evaluation of the Programme efficiency and effectiveness focusing on the evaluation of the quality and effectiveness of the Programme management and procedures (management structure, coordination between bodies, monitoring system, etc.) and evaluation of the quality and effectiveness of the Programme Communication Strategy.
- Impact evaluation focusing on the evaluation of Programme's performance as regards to each specific objective and evaluation of how support from ERDF/IPA II has contributed to the objectives of smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

Evaluation of the Programme efficiency and effectiveness

The evaluation of Programme's efficiency and effectiveness was carried out during 2019. One procurement procedure was published for Interreg IPA Cross-border Cooperation Programme Croatia - Serbia 2014-2020 and Interreg IPA Cross-border Cooperation Programme Croatia - Bosnia and Herzegovina - Montenegro 2014 – 2020 in September 2018, and the contract with the consortium WYG Consulting Ltd and T33 was signed on 15 April 2019. The evaluation was conducted from May until October 2019.

The evaluation methodology combined desk reviews of Programme documents, a web survey answered by beneficiaries and applicants, a set of interviews with Programme representatives and a case study analysis. The evaluation focused on Programme management, Programme implementation and the Programme Communication Strategy. The evaluation was carried out halfway through the implementation of the Programme, in order to showcase mid-term achievements and results in the cross-border area.

The Final Evaluation Report was issued on 7 October 2019 and was approved by the JMC on 24 October 2019. The report reveals that the Programme is performing well in meeting the overall Programme goal: strengthening the social, economic and territorial development of the cross-border area. According to evaluators, Programme bodies are effectively managing cross-border cooperation and ensuring that projects are creating sustainable results in both Croatia and Serbia.

Detailed information on the results of the performed evaluation of Programme's efficiency and effectiveness, together with synthesis of the findings from 2019, were presented in Annual Implementation Report (AIR) for 2019.

Impact evaluation

The draft tender dossier for the procurement of services for the Impact evaluation of Interreg IPA programmes 2014-2020 was published for prior consultation on 10 December 2020 (<https://bit.ly/3e68Icf>), while MA launched an open procedure for the procurement of the services for the Impact evaluation later in December 2020 (<https://bit.ly/3uY2lhE>). The deadline for submission of offers was 12 January 2021. The contract with external service provider was concluded on 15 April 2021. The impact evaluation of the Programme will be implemented in October 2021.

The main objective of this tender is to assess the impact of the results and effects of the Programme with regard to:

- a) assessment of the achieved results and impact of the Programmes and their sustainability,
- b) assessment of the impact of communication according to the planned goals,
- c) assessment of the impact of the Programme and projects financed under the Programme on the Programme area, cross-border population, project partners and other target groups.

The evaluation of the impact of the Programme will assess how (in what way) and to what extent, thanks to the EU (ERDF / IPA II) the Programme funds contributed to the objectives of the Programme, i.e. the general objective of the Programme and the specific objectives of each priority axis of the Programme. Furthermore, the evaluation of the impact of the Programme assesses what the Programme has achieved through its implementation, and shows what improvements are needed to be pursued in the programming period 2021-2027.

Name	Fund	From month	From year	To month	To year	Type of evaluation	Thematic priority	Topic	Findings
------	------	------------	-----------	----------	---------	--------------------	-------------------	-------	----------

5. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN

(a) Issues which affect the performance of the programme and the measures taken

Institutional change

As of January 2019, the Croatian Agency for Regional Development merged with the Ministry of Regional Development and EU funds (MRDEUF). The roles and responsibilities of MA, JS and FLC in Croatia of the Programme have been transferred to MRDEUF. This merger of the two institutions resulted with the decrease of the employees in Croatian First Level Control Body. Consequently, the FLC controls were performed with certain delays, which continued throughout 2020. The Managing Authority monitors the certification closely and took appropriate measures in order to ensure continuous certification process. For example, due to close monitoring of FLC issuance of certificate process, in 2020 the MA introduced provisional deadlines and priority lists for each Control Body in order to compensate for the delay as much as possible.

Activities impacted by COVID-19 pandemic and measures taken

The MA and JS were closely monitoring the evolving situation regarding COVID-19 pandemic. The worldwide emergency linked to the COVID-19 brought the need for the implementation of urgent measures as of March 2020.

In order to facilitate and harmonize reporting on achievement of project outputs in time of COVID-19 pandemic, in April 2020 the MA and JS revised the Annex 5 of PIM. Changes for reporting on project outputs related to educational and training activities, which project partners might have decided to carry out remotely, have been introduced in the document. Additionally, in March 2020, as a part of mitigation measures undertaken to reduce the negative effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on project activities, the MA has published Programme measures related to the eligibility of expenditures. The measures allow an exception regarding the eligibility of costs which were already incurred for the organization of meetings and events, which were ultimately cancelled due to COVID-19 restrictions (in case it was not possible to acquire a refund). The MA and JS advised the beneficiaries to consider whether carrying out the meeting or event by other means such as videoconferences is a suitable option in order to minimize the impact on the workplan.

The COVID-19 pandemic also affected Programme bodies day-to-day functioning. In accordance with preventive measures regarding the spreading of COVID-19, the MA and JS were first working remotely from home since March 2020, and later in shifts on a weekly basis (one team from home, the other from the office) depending on the evolving public health situation. In March 2020, MA also issued instructions regarding on-the-spot (OTS) checks, to replace it with other appropriate tools during the pandemic (virtual OTS checks etc.).

Several Programme bodies' meetings were cancelled or held online, due to COVID-19 restrictions. The third First Level Control networking meeting scheduled for 12 March 2020 was cancelled and the relevant materials were sent to FLC by e-mail. One JMC meeting was held virtually, via Zoom platform, on 15 December 2020.

Due to health authorities' advice on preventing the COVID-19 spreading, several Programme events were cancelled. The envisaged Reporting workshops for the 2nd CfP projects, planned to be held in Subotica

(Serbia) on 25 March 2020 and in Osijek (Croatia) on 26 March 2020, were cancelled. The planned workshop presentation was made available for beneficiaries on the Programme website (<https://bit.ly/2OSrzio>).

The COVID-19 outbreak highly impacted organization of any foreseen events and therefore in 2020 the Programme has managed to organize only 2 local communication events. These events were organized in order to mark the 30 years of Interreg, by planting 15 trees in each country (on 23 September in Sremska Mitrovica in Serbia and on 25 September in Zlatna Greda in Croatia). The rest of the communication activities were executed online.

Due to the reflection of this rapidly changing situation on implementation of project activities, so far 7 projects have been approved an extension of the implementation period (Wild Danube Tour by 8 months, Inclusive Community and BIOSOL by 6 months, Heart Net by 5 months, COMMON and WBH by 3 months, Support Life by 2 months).

At the end of 2020, the MA analysed savings generated during implementation of projects contracted under 1 CfP in order to redirect the funds for PA1 projects dealing with health care in 2021. The savings will be used for activities/equipment to combat COVID-19, as one of mitigation measures in response to the pandemic.

eMS Technical issues

Due to the technical difficulties, the electronic monitoring system (eMS) was offline and not accessible in the timeframe from 16 June 2020 until 14 July 2020. The Programme was working diligently in order to fix the issue and set up the system back online. Taking into account available IT resources (internal eMS officer and external service provider), eMS works properly.

(b) OPTIONAL FOR LIGHT REPORTS, otherwise it will be included in point 9.1. An assessment of whether progress made towards targets is sufficient to ensure their fulfilment, indicating any remedial actions taken or planned, where appropriate.

--

6. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY (ARTICLE 50(9) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)

A citizen's summary of the contents of the annual and the final implementation reports shall be made public and uploaded as a separate file in the form of annex to the annual and the final implementation report

You can upload/find the Citizen's summary under General > Documents

7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (ARTICLE 46 OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013)

8. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (ARTICLE 101(H) AND ARTICLE 111(3) OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(B) OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013)

8.1. Major projects

Table 7: Major projects

Project	CCI	Status of MP	Total investments	Total eligible costs	Planned notification/submission date	Date of tacit agreement/ approval by Commission	Planned start of implementation	Planned completion date	Priority axis / Thematic priorities	Current state of realisation — financial progress (% of expenditure certified to Commission compared to total eligible cost)	Current state of realisation — physical progress / Main implementation stage of the project	Main outputs	Date of signature of first works contract (1)	Observations
---------	-----	--------------	-------------------	----------------------	--------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------------------	--	---	--------------	---	--------------

(1) In the case of operations implemented under PPP structures the signing of the PPP contract between the public body and the private sector body (Article 102(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013).

Significant problems encountered in implementing major projects and measures taken to overcome them

--

Any change planned in the list of major projects in the cooperation programme

--

8.2. Joint action plans

Progress in the implementation of different stages of joint action plans

--

Table 8: Joint action plans (JAP)

Title of the JAP	CCI	Stage of implementation of JAP	Total eligible costs	Total public support	OP contribution to JAP	Priority axis	Type of JAP	[Planned] submission to the Commission	[Planned] start of implementation	[Planned] completion	Main outputs and results	Total eligible expenditure certified to the Commission	Observations
------------------	-----	--------------------------------	----------------------	----------------------	------------------------	---------------	-------------	--	-----------------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--	--------------

Significant problems encountered and measures taken to overcome them

--

9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)

9.1 Information in Part A and achieving the objectives of the programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

Priority axis	PA1 - Improving the quality of public health and social services in the programme area
---------------	--

--

Priority axis	PA2 - Protecting the environment and biodiversity, improving risk prevention and promoting sustainable energy and energy efficiency
---------------	---

--

Priority axis	PA3 - Contributing to the development of tourism and preserving cultural and natural heritage.
---------------	--

--

Priority axis	PA4 - Enhancing competitiveness and developing business environment in the programme area.
---------------	--

--

Priority axis	PA5 - Technical Assistance
---------------	----------------------------

--

9.2. Specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, in particular accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (d) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

An assessment of the implementation of specific actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 7 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on promotion of equality between men and women and non-discrimination, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, including accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations

--

9.3.Sustainable development (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (e) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

An assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 8 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on sustainable development, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of the actions taken to promote sustainable development in accordance with that Article

--

9.4. Reporting on support used for climate change objectives (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

--

9.5 Role of partners in the implementation of the cooperation programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 1, (c) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

Assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the role of partners referred to in Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013, including involvement of the partners in the implementation, monitoring and evaluation of the cooperation programme

--

10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1 (A) AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013

10.1 Progress in implementation of the evaluation plan and the follow-up given to the findings of evaluations

--

Status	Name	Fund	Year of finalizing evaluation	Type of evaluation	Thematic priority	Topic	Findings (in case of execution)	Follow up (in case of execution)
--------	------	------	-------------------------------	--------------------	-------------------	-------	---------------------------------	----------------------------------

10.2 The results of the information and publicity measures of the Funds carried out under the communication strategy

--

11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2 (A), (B), (C) AND (F), OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013)

11.1. Progress in the implementation of the integrated approach to territorial development, including integrated territorial investments, sustainable urban development, and community led local development under the cooperation programme

--

11.2 Progress in implementation of actions to reinforce the capacity of authorities and beneficiaries to administer and to use the IPA

--

11.3 Contribution to macro-regional and sea basin strategies (where appropriate)

As stipulated by the Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013, recital 19, article 8(3)(d) on the "Content, adoption and amendment of cooperation programmes" and article 14(4) 2nd subparagraph (c) "Implementation reports", this programme contributes to MRS(s) and/or SBS:

Contribution to EUSDR/EUSAIR for projects contracted within 1st CfP was already reported in previous Annual Implementation Reports, therefore AIR2020 will focus on contributions from new projects contracted within 2nd CfP. The EUSDR challenge related to sustainable energy, managing environmental risks and preserving biodiversity, as well as the EUSAIR pillar dealing with challenge of preserving, protecting and improving the quality of the environment is tackled by new projects contracted under PA2:

- with additional capacities of renewable energy produced by projects **BIOSOL**, **E2P2** and **GReENERGY**
- with demonstrating innovative energy sources and energy-efficient methods for public buildings by project **RESCUE**
- with developing standard operating procedure for the case of major floods and disasters involving dangerous chemicals or gasses by project **TOGETHER WE STAND**
- with activities on wetlands protection and preservation planned by project **WetlandRestore**

The EUSDR challenge referring to promoting culture and tourism, as well as the EUSAIR pillar dealing with challenge of increasing regional attractiveness by supporting sustainable development, preservation and promotion of culture heritage are in correlation with new projects contracted under PA3:

- **FILMharmonia** tackles the challenge of undiversified tourism offer in the CB area, lack of innovative cultural products and of specialized skills for culture products

- **Pannonia Gourmet** aims to develop joint tourism product that will connect stakeholders and service providers, together with establishing two new gourmet centers

- **Panona net** is in a mission to diversify and integrate the tourism offer in CB area by establishing a joint destination management platform, developing thematic routes and organising CB tourism events

- **Wild Danube Tour** plans to introduce new wildlife and ecotourism programs for visitors of CB area by developing new wildlife, photography and ecotourism services and facilities

The EUSDR challenges referring to supporting the competitiveness of enterprises, including cluster development, as well as the EUSAIR aspect related to innovation and SMEs development are related to new projects contracted under PA4:

- **CB NET** aims addressing unemployment issues by encouraging development of ICT companies and establishment of ICT cross-border network in CB area

- **CBC Clusters** plans to establish two clusters and develop a web-based tool for mapping renewable agricultural sources in CB area

- **COMMON** aims to develop an online networking platform and educational programs to provide new knowledge for the unemployed in the CB area
- **FED CCNET** plans to establish a Business Competence Center with two branch offices that will provide trainings and mentoring programs for SMEs
- **IT COMMUNITY REGION** will create round-the-clock business solutions for IT professionals in the cross-border area and ensure new opportunities for education, developing IT skills and networking.
- **Women Business HUB** aims to contribute to a better business environment for women in cross-border area by establishing Business Hubs that will offer trainings, mentoring, networking and promotion services to woman entrepreneurs

The aspect related to the capacity building is tackled through the implementation of projects contracted under all Priority axes within the 2nd CFP, with trainings and educations related to palliative and elderly care, environment and biodiversity protection, sustainable and responsible tourism, cultural management etc.

- EU Strategy for the Danube Region (EUSDR)
- EU Strategy for the Adriatic and Ionian Region (EUSAIR)

The pillar(s) and priority area(s) that the programme is relevant to:

	Pillar	Priority area
<input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Connecting the Danube region	1.1 - Mobility - waterways
<input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Connecting the Danube region	1.2 - Mobility - rail, road & air
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Connecting the Danube region	1.3 - Energy
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Connecting the Danube region	1.4 - Culture and tourism
<input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Protecting the environment in the Danube region	2.1 - Water quality
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Protecting the environment in the Danube region	2.2 - Environmental risks
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Protecting the environment in the Danube region	2.3 - Biodiversity, landscapes, air and soil quality
<input type="checkbox"/>	3 - Building prosperity in the Danube region	3.1 - Knowledge society
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Building prosperity in the Danube region	3.2 - Competitiveness
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Building prosperity in the Danube region	3.3 - People & skills
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 - Strengthening the Danube region	4.1 - Institutional capacity & cooperation
<input type="checkbox"/>	4 - Strengthening the Danube region	4.2 - Security

Actions or mechanisms used to better link the programme with the EUSDR

A. Are macro-regional coordinators (mainly National Coordinators, Priority Area Coordinators or Steering Group members) participating in the Monitoring Committee of the programme?

Yes No

B. In selection criteria, have extra points been attributed to specific measures supporting the EUSDR?

Yes No

a) Are targeted calls for proposals planned in relation to EUSDR

Yes No

b) How many macro-regional projects/actions are already supported by the programme? (Number)

0

c) Were extra points/bonus given to a project/action with high macro-regional significance or impact? If yes, please elaborate (1 specific sentence)

The project could obtain max. score of 3 points on the question related to contribution to different strategies, in case of clearly shown contribution to at least one macro-regional strategy.

d) Other actions (e.g. planned strategic projects). Please elaborate (1 specific sentence)

No additional actions / strategic projects were implemented in 2020.

C. Has the programme invested EU funds in the EUSDR?

Yes No

Does your programme plan to invest in the EUSDR in the future? Please elaborate (1 specific sentence)

The decision is still to be made during the programming for the next financial perspective, that was disrupted due to COVID -19 pandemics.

D. Obtained results in relation to the EUSDR (n.a. for 2016)

Most projects within 1st CfP ended the implementation in 2019. Only few projects finished in Jan/Feb 2020, contributing to EUSDR with: additional capacities of renewable energy produced, developed monitoring system for wetlands/water quality, developed student handbooks on preservation of biodiversity, landscapes and soils, revitalised cultural heritage sites - Osijek Secession park and Subotica City Hall, promoted touristic offer of Danube region, enhanced ICT on 2 faculties, improved competitiveness for organic agriculture, preserved old varieties of fruits. In 2020, projects of 2nd CfP obtained following results in relation to the EUSDR: a new bird feeding facility developed in Danube region (WILD DANUBE TOUR), 1,5 km of surface area of wetland habitat in Čarna supported in order to attain a better conservation status, with wetland monitoring protocols (WETLAND RESTORE), cultural tourism supporting facilities improved by new musical instruments and equipment (FILMHARMONIA).

E. Does the programme contribute to the targets as validated by the national coordinators and priority area coordinators in 2016 (uploaded on the EUSDR website)? (Please specify the target(s))

The Programme contributes to the following EUSDR targets: 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.

Pillar(s), topic(s) and/or cross cutting issue(s) that the programme is relevant to:

	Pillar	Topic / Cross cutting issue
<input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Blue growth	1.1.1 - Blue technologies
<input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Blue growth	1.1.2 - Fisheries and aquaculture
<input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Blue growth	1.1.3 - Maritime and marine governance and services
<input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Blue growth	1.2.1 - Strengthening R&D, innovation
<input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Blue growth	1.2.2 - SMEs development
<input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Blue growth	1.2.3 - Capacity building
<input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Connecting the region	2.1.1 - Maritime transport
<input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Connecting the region	2.1.2 - Intermodal connections to the hinterland
<input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Connecting the region	2.1.3 - Energy networks
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Connecting the region	2.2.1 - Strengthening R&D, innovation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Connecting the region	2.2.2 - SMEs development
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Connecting the region	2.2.3 - Capacity building
<input type="checkbox"/>	3 - Environmental quality	3.1.1 - The marine environment
<input type="checkbox"/>	3 - Environmental quality	3.1.2 - Transnational terrestrial habitats and biodiversity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Environmental quality	3.2.1 - Strengthening R&D, innovation
<input type="checkbox"/>	3 - Environmental quality	3.2.2 - SMEs development
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Environmental quality	3.2.3 - Capacity building
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 - Sustainable tourism	4.1.1 - Diversified tourism offer (products and services)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 - Sustainable tourism	4.1.2 - Sustainable and responsible tourism management (innovation and quality)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 - Sustainable tourism	4.2.1 - Strengthening R&D, innovation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 - Sustainable tourism	4.2.2 - SMEs development
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 - Sustainable tourism	4.2.3 - Capacity building

Actions or mechanisms used to better link the programme with the EUSAIR

A. Are macro-regional coordinators (mainly National Coordinators, Pillar Coordinators, or Thematic Steering Group members) participating in the Monitoring Committee of the programme?

Yes No

B. In selection criteria, have extra points been attributed to specific measures supporting the EUSAIR?

Yes No

a) Are targeted calls for proposals planned in relation to EUSAIR

Yes No

b) How many macro-regional projects/actions are already supported by the programme? (Number)

0

c) Were extra points/bonus given to a project/action with high macro-regional significance or impact? If yes, please elaborate (1 specific sentence)

The project could obtain max. score of 3 points on the question related to contribution to different strategies, in case of clearly shown contribution to at least one macro-regional strategy.

d) Other actions (e.g. planned strategic projects). Please elaborate (1 specific sentence)

No additional actions / strategic projects were implemented in 2020.

C. Has the programme invested EU funds in the EUSAIR?

Yes No

Does your programme plan to invest in the EUSAIR in the future? Please elaborate (1 specific sentence)

The decision is still to be made during the programming for the next financial perspective, that was disrupted due to COVID -19 pandemics.

D. Obtained results in relation to the EUSAIR (n.a. for 2016)

Most projects within 1st CfP ended the implementation in 2019. Only few projects finished in Jan/Feb 2020, contributing to EUSAIR with: additional capacities of renewable energy produced, developed monitoring system for wetlands/water quality, developed student handbooks on preservation of biodiversity, landscapes and soils, revitalised cultural heritage sites - Osijek Secession park and Subotica City Hall, promoted touristic offer of Danube region, enhanced ICT on 2 faculties, improved competitiveness for organic agriculture, preserved old varieties of fruits. In 2020, projects of 2nd CfP obtained following results in relation to the EUSAIR: a new bird feeding facility developed in Danube region (WILD DANUBE TOUR), 1,5 km of surface area of wetland habitat in Čarna supported in order to attain a better conservation status, with wetland monitoring protocols (WETLAND RESTORE), cultural tourism supporting facilities improved by new musical instruments and equipment (FILMHARMONIA).

E. Does the programme contribute to the objectives and/or targets which are attached to each topic under the Pillars, as stated in the Action Plan? (please specify the objective(s) and target(s))

11.4 Progress in the implementation of actions in the field of social innovation

--

13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH

Information and assessment of the programme contribution to achieving the Union strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

--

**14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN
— PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013)**

Where the assessment of progress made with regard to the milestones and targets set out in the performance framework demonstrates that certain milestones and targets have not been achieved, Member States should outline the underlying reasons for failure to achieve these milestones in the report of 2019 (for milestones) and in the final implementation report (for targets).

--

DOCUMENTS

Document title	Document type	Document date	Local reference	Commission reference	Files	Sent date	Sent By
Citizens' summary 2020	Citizens' summary	20-May-2021			Citizens' summary 2020		

LATEST VALIDATION RESULTS

Severity	Code	Message
Info		Implementation report version has been validated
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA2, investment priority: -, specific objective: 2.1, indicator: PA2.1, year: 2014 (0.00 < 42.00). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA3, investment priority: -, specific objective: 3.1, indicator: PA3, year: 2020 (908,051.00 < 1,037,837.00). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA4, investment priority: -, specific objective: 4.1, indicator: PA4, year: 2014 (0.00 < 13.00). Please check.
Warning	2.48.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA4, investment priority: -, specific objective: 4.1, indicator: PA4, year: 2020 (3.00 < 13.00). Please check.
Warning	2.49.1	Annual value entered in table 1 exceeds the target value for priority axis: PA3, investment priority: -, specific objective: 3.1, indicator: PA3, year: 2018 (1,487,792.00 > 1,041,358.00). Please check.
Warning	2.49.1	Annual value entered in table 1 exceeds the target value for priority axis: PA3, investment priority: -, specific objective: 3.1, indicator: PA3, year: 2019 (1,487,792.00 > 1,041,358.00). Please check.
Warning	2.50.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is greater than the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA1, investment priority: -, specific objective: 1.1, indicator: PA1, year: 2018 (111,069.00 > 82,672.00). Please check.
Warning	2.50.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is greater than the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA1, investment priority: -, specific objective: 1.1, indicator: PA1, year: 2019 (111,069.00 > 82,672.00). Please check.
Warning	2.50.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is greater than the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA1, investment priority: -, specific objective: 1.1, indicator: PA1, year: 2020 (98,298.00 > 82,672.00). Please check.
Warning	2.50.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is greater than the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: PA2, investment priority: -, specific objective: 2.2, indicator: PA2.2, year: 2020 (254,129,088.68 > 119,982,318.29). Please check.
Warning	2.51.1	Annual value entered in table 1 is below the target value for priority axis: PA1, investment priority: -, specific objective: 1.1, indicator: PA1, year: 2014 (0.00 < 76,885.00). Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 110.98% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA1, investment priority: -, indicator: PA1, year: 2019. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 117.89% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA2, investment priority: -, indicator: PA2b, year: 2019. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 117.89% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA2, investment priority: -, indicator: PA2b, year: 2020. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 123.08% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA3, investment priority: -, indicator: PA3a, year: 2019. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 123.08% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA3, investment priority: -, indicator: PA3a, year: 2020. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 123.78% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA1, investment priority: -, indicator: CO36, year: 2020. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 129.29% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA1, investment priority: -, indicator: PA1, year: 2020. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 132.43% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA3, investment priority: -, indicator: PA3b, year: 2019. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 132.43% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA3, investment priority: -, indicator: PA3b, year: 2020. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 133.33% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA1, investment priority: -, indicator: PA1b, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 144.44% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA4, investment priority: -, indicator: CO26, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 155.56% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA1, investment priority: -, indicator: PA1b, year: 2019. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 155.56% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA1, investment priority: -, indicator: PA1b, year: 2020. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 157.14% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA4, investment priority: -, indicator: PA4c, year: 2019. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 157.14% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA4, investment priority: -, indicator: PA4c, year: 2020. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 161.39% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA2, investment priority: -, indicator: PA2c, year: 2019. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 161.39% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA2, investment priority: -, indicator: PA2c, year: 2020. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 166.67% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA4, investment priority: -, indicator: PA4d, year: 2018. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 175.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA4, investment priority: -, indicator: PA4d, year: 2019. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 175.00% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA4, investment priority: -, indicator: PA4d, year: 2020. Please check.
Warning	2.52.1	In table 2, the annual total value entered is 214.29% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: PA4, investment priority: -, indicator: PA4a, year: 2018. Please check.

